Meeting begins at 3:40 pm


Old Business

1. Approval of Meeting Minutes
   a. Motion: Kyle Boyle
   b. Approved: Elizabeth Painter

2. Allocations
   a. Appeal
      i. Dr. Mull: Appeal the former denial.
         1. Analysis:
            a. “Primary [majority] student attendance”
            b. Non-Partisan activity stated in the proposal. Acknowledge what the speaker is bringing and not what potentially what can happen.
         2. CSA needs to review composition for event planning across the board.
         3. Question: Laureen Smith: Did the Counsel make recommendation to approve or denial?
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a. Mull: They did, but CSA has full control or jurisdiction to make decision. Legal makes informed recommendations based on precedent.

4. Khol: Can we review the first proposal?
   a. Counsel, Phone: Based on precedent, you can view both, but the appeal is the most up-to-date document.

5. Batiato: Student centered event or primary attendance?

6. Member: Can we make sure that our funds are used correctly?
   a. Per our body, we do not police the actual use of funds. We are an allocating body—not to control what happens afterwards.

7. Sara Mueller: What are we trying to do? Do we need to revote? Does this happen for other orgs? Is this protocol?
   a. Mull: We should consider the implications and decisions that have been made with how we made the decision to decline.

8. Peyton Batiato: We aren’t focused on how organizations are funded, but if we find that the event is partisan, can we retract funding?
   a. Mull: If monies are for food have exceeded the 40%, but never have we retracted funded. Reimbursement is protocol.
   b. Marx: Budgets can be “tweeked.”
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c. Couch: It’s within CSA rights to do so, but in this case, there is a lot of interpretation of political activity. If we should start to legislate partisan nature, it would oppose neutrality we have adopted to govern our process and approvals.

9. Marx: There’s nothing written in the guideline about who and how to evaluate reimbursement for events.

10. Long: We will review this idea later, post-this proposal.

11. Marx: The initial proposal had no statement about majority of students.

12. Couch: Can’t use state monies to lobby the state.

13. Karwisch: We need a definition of what “partisan” means in our by-laws or student organizations requesting funds.

14. Couch: Yes, we need a clarifying question for Legal too.

15. Sara Meuller: It is not clear. “Not necessarily…”

   a. Long: He can’t control what questions may be spoken, and we don’t know what questions may be asked.

16. Mull: Is this event campaign or lobbying?

17. Grumper: His TALK will “not necessarily” be partisan…

18. Mull: They have a 501(c)3 and they are trying to protect that status. “We are a 501(c)3 organization and we are not permitted to condone any partisan politics…”

19. Le: We can’t assume what is going to be talked about…
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20. Boyle: Here we are disgusting what HE will speak in the first 30 minutes not questions that may come forward via participapnts.

21. Couch: For allocations, when clarifying for lectures, why?

22. Boyle: For most allocations, we want to make sure we are within guidelines. “we want to make sure we are keeping the standards across all organizations” what is being discussed.

23. Smith: Is this detail in the application? Explicit? To know that you have to provide that much information.

24. Boyle: It is not as detailed enough.

25. Smith: We can’t hold them to that “standard” them.

26. Smith: Did you hold them accountlbe for this group.

27. Boyle: There were more.

28. Grumper: Motion to close discussion.

29. Second: 5

30. Deny: 7

31. Floor still open.

32. Peyton Batiato: Vague wording is in the bylaws. 501(c)3 is allowed to do lobbying. “May engage in lobbying…”

33. Mull: Do we believe that political political lobbying?

34. Smith: We cant allow bias into conversation?

35. Mull: Yes, this is a neutral body.

36. Grumper: Urge counsel to approve appeal because to the best of their knowledge, they are not trying to lobbying. Based on the topic, this should be approvable.
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37. Mull: The group is 501(c)3 and cannot lobby.

38. Sara Mueller: The process has continued over the last few weeks. What is closed and what is not?

39. Mull: The group is a biased process because of a political persuasion. CSA can make more decisions and I decided as admin to move forward to legal.

40. Li: Based on the proposal and appeal? Why are we guessing about lobbying?

41. Li: Motion to approve and fund the proposal.

42. Grumper: Second.

43. All in favor: 7

44. Opposed: 5

45. Motion Passed and approved.

ii. Grumper: Motion to the chair to create sub committee to review allocation bylaws.

iii. Long: I hear and these thoughts are in consideration.

New Business

1. Student Life Updates

   a. Dr. J/Mull

      i. Beat Michigan Blood Battle

      ii. Alumni Advisory Council invited BSEP to present with KBK

      iii. Big 10 Housing Conference was amazing and well done. Great collaboration across the Big 10.

      iv. Held a vigil for recent events in Pennsylvania shootings.
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2. Subcommittee Updates
   a. Issues – Subcommittee Chair, Lyda Garcia
      i. Upcoming Issues
         1. Peyton B.: Food insecurity
         2. International students and legal services
   b. Allocations – Subcommittee Chair, Kyle Boyle
      i. Reviewing spring first half applications
      ii. Ad hoc meeting scheduled for Friday or now and next committee meeting.

3. Student Government Updates
   a. USG
      i. Advisors Dinner on November 29
      ii. International Student Professional Panel
      iii. OSU 2nd Annual #MeToo Week working with Title IX
   b. CGS
      i. Delegate meeting last Monday.
      ii. Are you Ok Buckeye Program
      iii. Looking for Faculty Judges for Hayes Forum, looking for faculty in Arts and Sciences
      iv. Health and Wellness, March 2019
   c. IPC
      i. Senate meeting last night.
      ii. ProDevo funds are being distributed next month
      iii. Annual Halloween party was a success. Over 1000 students present
      iv. Charity Soccer event.
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4. Open Floor/Announcements
   a. Note: Change to CSA Dates
   b. Attendance Requirements: Max of 3 absence in sub- and full council meetings.
   c. Next full meeting is November 26. Last meeting is December 10.
   d. Potential of phone meeting for December 10
   e. Li: Can start meetings on time

5. Adjournment at 4:37 pm
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