

CSA Full Council Meeting
Monday, April 11, 2016
Student Alumni Council Room
3:30PM

1. Welcome

New Business

1. Approval of last meeting's minutes

a. Approved

2. Amendments to the Student Organization Registration Guidelines

a. Questions

i. Mckinzie- Input on deadlines? Pg. 17

1. Changing up the set dates. No set idea had. Consensus was that is would be worth looking into.

2.

ii. How would you communicate "soft" v. "hard" deadline?

1. Unsure.

2. If we made it the first, students would have two fewer weeks to get an application in. Not drastically cutting the application being open.

3. Two windows would be cut short (summer and fall).

a. Would be beneficial in the fall to have more time to review applications that come in.

iii. The 1st would be the best deadline.

iv. Voting

1. Unanimous vote in favor of the amendments to the Student Organization Registration Guidelines

3. Amendments to the OUC Operating Procedures

a. DaVonti

i. Updates

1. Bottom Page One- Membership

a. Grad student appointed by student life.

2. Page Two- F-7

a. Appointed by student life

3. Page Three- Article 5, A, Subsections C

a. Changed the wording about reporting to CSA about Ohio Union Council

4. Changed committee to subcommittee throughout the document

b. Voting

i. Changes approved for the document by unanimous vote.

4. United Students Against Sweatshops Proposal Recommendation

a. Summarized their position.

i. Focused on the universities process has been faster than expected.

ii. Employment of OSU employees

- iii. Sustainability- do companies have goals aligned with the university
 - iv. Recommendation to Senate Steering is we do not think the proposal should reach the Senate based on the issues committee.
- b. Proposal is essentially stating that the university should not move on with privatization and should cease any plans to privatize.
- c. Sam came to the issues meeting to describe the proposal. The group felt that the demand for the university to stop all discussions of privatization was unlikely to be fulfilled. Instead provided some insight on what could be changed and/or what were more plausible options moving forward.
 - i. Put provisions in for the workers (not in the document)
 - ii. Internal audit (not in the document)
- d. David (reporting from
 - i. Regarding USAS, administration has provided more job security measures for OSU workers who would be impacted by privatization. Guaranteed they would find a place and the same salary for the workers.
- e. Bowen
 - i. Can the language reflect; we recommend this proposal as it currently stands does not go to the University Senate floor.
- f. Is it an option to go back to USAS and explain the recommendation and provide suggestions for proposal revisions?
 - i. Yes.
- g. Noel
 - i. Add in that we agree w/ protection of workers and that movement has been made
 - ii. Add that the proposal as is doesn't go to the floor but if changes are made can perhaps go
 - iii. Add that this is a complicated issue and more "eyes" should look at this proposal
 - iv. Add an inclusion of an internal audit
 - 1. USG outlined it nicely (what we can do without privatization)
 - v. The biggest component of the proposal holding us back is the language that all privatization cease.
 - 1. We can draft another document to send to USAS with detailed suggestions and recommendations for the proposal.
- h. Bowen
 - i. Can we add footnotes to the letter so there is documentation supporting that we analyzed the proposal for both the senate steering and USAS.
- i. Conversation about bids
 - i. Bids over a certain amount are made public but not the moment the bids are made
- j. Vote

- i. Unanimous vote in favor of sending this letter to the Senate Steering.
- 5. Discussion on CSA Operating Procedures Amendments
 - a. Like to include Dan Huffman and his position (student activity fee and business manager) to CSA
 - i. Non-voting members we can change on our own
 - b. Added
- 6. Student Life Updates
 - a. Dr. J
- 7. Subcommittee Updates
 - a. Issues
 - b. Allocations
- 8. Student Government Updates
 - a. USG
 - b. CGS
 - c. IPC
- 9. Announcements
 - a. We will have a meeting on April 25th.
 - b. Nominations for chair will be done via email.
 - i. “New” people should be in the meeting.
 - ii. Friday, April 22nd is the deadline for nominations.
- 10. Adjournment
 - a. 5:04pm