1. Welcome

Old Business
1. Discussion on Student Code of Conduct amendments
   a. Noel- Refresher of the Student Code of Conduct Revision Process
      i. April 21st, date of the last university senate meeting, aiming for
         April 14th to present the revisions
   b. (Presenters) Review Changes to the Initial Revisions
      i. Missy Mayheu
         1. All of the changes were made to…
            a. Comply with OCR agreement
            b. Comply w/ federal law
            c. Precisely align the code with student conducts
               current processes
            d. Reason for the differences of complainants, sharing
               information needs to apply to only sexual
               misconduct complainants
            e. Pg. 9, Rule O-9
               i. Federal law- provide the same notice
                  regarding bowa crimes
            f. Pg. 10 Para. B
            g. Rule 10 Hearing Procedures, Para. B
               i. Advisor to respondents of complainants in
                  all cases
            h. Rule 10 Para. C
               i. Submit a list to potential witnesses to all
                  respondents and complainants
            i. Rule 11
               i. Encourage both respondents and
                  complainants to participate in the process
               ii. To make it clear that the failure to attend a
                   decision will not be the only decision to
                   convict an individual
            j. Rule 12
               i. Written notice of the decision required to be
                  provided to complainants in sexual response
                  cases, and in violent cases. Notice of the
                  outcome need to be provided.
            k. Pg. 16, Section B, Grounds for Appeal
               i. Decision on appeal will not be based solely
                  on attendance of the complainants or
                  defendant
1. Rule 19, pg. 17
   i. Student conduct can make minor deviations from procedure as long as they are not material harmful to each individual in the case.

c. Discussion
   i. David- How are the yellow highlights regarded? How are the questions being resolved?
      1. Should have been removed. Excuse the information.
   ii. Roger
      1. Who does the investigation?
         a. Office of Student Conduct for anything non-academic conduct
            i. Hearings happen if a student is not admitting fault or an administrative hearing (only one person v. a board)
               1. Most common, students accept responsibilities
         ii. Board
            1. Staff, Faculty, Students for everything but Title IX cases
            2. Staff and faculty sit on board in Title IX cases
      b. Appeals- Dr. J
      2. Pg. 15, Right to Appeal: Do both parties have the right to appeal?
         a. Make the language more clear
      3. Pg. 14, Disciplinary Action
         a. The sanctions are called “standing sanctions”.
         b. Educational sanctions are different. Pg. 15, Section E
         c. Interim changes- done before an investigation takes place
      4. How much of this because public?
         a. FERPA would not allow this to go public.
         b. On transcript, it will say they were suspended/expelled but not the reason why.
   iii. Jen
      1. How will the changes be communicated?
         a. General Communication Process
            i. Posted on the student code of conduct website and student life website
            ii. Students do not receive an abridged version though there is an FAQ on the website.
iii. Students receive a list of student rights before there are any hearings or conversations

iv. Elizabeth
   1. Pg. 8, Section 3, what is the “role respondent”, not included in the initial definition?
      a. No response
   2. Pg. 16, Confused about the appropriate appeal officer, residence hall hearings?
      a. There are residence hall hearings; there are not board meetings for residence hall cases.
      b. If a student has a case in the Res. Hall, they meet with Hall Director; they do an administrative hearing through Res. Life if they do not choose to accept responsibility.

v. Bowen
   1. What is a minor v. major deviation? Pg. 17
      a. Tightening the language.
         i. Noel- A holistic review will happen next year. Keep this in mind when analyzing the document.
      b. Added to the list of changes

vi.
   1. Top of page 2, criminal proceedings
      a. When would this apply?
         i. Administrative process within the code is different based on policy v. law.
         ii. Criminal investigation can take years but it’s separated from the university investigation. The university can look at what is conducted by the criminal investigation.

vii. Bowen
   1. For approval process
      a. “Accept approved changes”
      b. Motion to vote.
         i. Mc Kinzie, second the motion

viii. VOTE
   1. Voice Vote, Unanimous
      a. PASSAGE
   2. Updated copy with no comments in the margins, sent to us by Eunice by Wednesday

New Business
   1. Approval of last meeting’s minutes
      a. Approved, unanimous, voice vote
   2. Proposal to allocate additional money to Student Organizations
a. Macgregor
   i. Review programming funds for student orgs. Difficult budget to project. Amount of money is difficult to determine how it will be dispersed. Have to over allocate often. With the restructuring of the student activities fee, money is less. Short $86,000 for the year. This now affects student orgs and their funding for programming.
   ii. Timeline
       1. Allocations would be in a “bad” place if we hold off on a vote two weeks from today.
          a. Would only give student organizations a week or so before some of their events would take place.
          b. Dr. Mull- We’ve done online meetings in the past in order to vote.
             i. Send vote to Noel and the tally would happen. Or an open vote by replying all.

b. Noel
   i. Write an alternative plan or follow the formula laid out in procedures
      1. Have to vote to activate the process

c. David- moves not to apply the published SAF distribution formula as outlined in Article 9 Section C Subsection B of the operating procedures
   i. Seconded by Roger
   ii. Voice vote, unanimous

d. Special Meeting
   i. Online Vote via email; outlined in the email
      1. By Wednesday, 11:59pm for individuals to respond with their vote to Noel via email. (1st vote)
      2. By Friday at 11:59pm votes need to be in to Noel via email. (2nd vote)

3. Student Life Updates
   a. Dr. J
      i. Dr. Mull
         1. Successful RACE event
            a. Viewing of the movie, discussion for students
            2. Career Fair Partnership w/ buckeye careers and arts and sciences
               a. Buckeye careers will man the fairs next year
            3. Career Closet Events
               a. Collected professional gear for students who need it

4. Subcommittee Updates
   a. Issues
      i. Did not meet this week, bringing in STEP representatives next week
   b. Allocations
      i. N/A that we didn’t discuss previously
5. Student Government Updates
   a. USG
      i. Released demographics report
      ii. Forming task force to expand diversity and recruitment efforts for USG
      iii. General assembly resolution passed regarding STEP
          1. Survey data is online
          2. Opening up lines of communication to improve STEP
      iv. President of the NAACP speaking the union
      v. Elections on Wed. 8pm
   b. CGS
      i. Open Doors Training part of the certificate program
         1. About 90 participants
      ii. Friday, February 26th, Hayes Research Forum
          1. 8:30-Noon
          2. 142 presentations by grad students
          3. 45 distinguished faculty participating and judging
          4. 50 grad students volunteering
      iii. Elections
          1. Nominations opened the past Friday
   c. IPC
      i. Presentation about MD Camp
         1. Underserved populations (middle/high) summer camp teaches them about health professions
            a. Will decide on funding for them next meeting

6. Announcements
   a. N/A

7. Adjournment
   a. Adjourned 4:40pm