

CSA Full Council Meeting
Monday, January 11, 2016
Student Alumni Council Room
3:30PM

Welcome: reviewing the calendar and reasoning behind certain date changes
--roger

- 1) Should there be some sort of note about groups that keep carry forward?
 - a. i.e. OUAB keeps \$50,000
 - b. Anything above 10% will be under review – draft from 2007
 - i. CSA reserves the right to open discussion with organizations that keep more than 10% of their original allocation
 - c. Look into a definition. In place to promote fairness.
 - d. Includes student government
- 2) “Intent of redistribution” Roger
 - a. Noel: redistribution -> to reallocation
 - b. Would result in an application
- 3) Reconciliation – intended to go into the formula ROGER
 - a. Draw clearer lines between the two
- 4) Process could be more detailed Roger, other staff
- 5) What happens to the funds that are not distributed in the second application?
 - a. Could make a proposal
 - b. CSA could be an applicant
 - c. Intention that student organizations can apply...if no one applies
- 6) Reconciliation – preferred to be split
 - a. Asked for clarification
 - b. Transparency
 - c. Doesn’t necessarily have to go through formula
 - d. Number of students we are basing this on, any above could be flexible
- 7) Certain groups may not be able to use it and just push back carryforward
 - a. Seems to delay using the funds
 - b. Application – quickly and immediately
 - i. Guidelines, high consideration towards events that are most in the spirit of the student activity
 - ii. Cautious of subjectivity
 1. Signature events
 - iii. Do we create a crutch?
 1. I.e. Buck-I-Serv – additional funds have been provided
 - iv. Noel- this is a one time thing – should be program based
- 8) This scenario helps us to look at distribution.
 - i. Evaluating signature events
 - ii. **Capital purchase items
 1. i.e. campus locator booths
 2. Should we set money aside for capital? This money is originally designed for capital.

- 9) Timing – will council know
 - a. 10th day – not in recent years
 - i. How can we get that data quicker so we know?
- 10) Fear of spending binge?
 - a. Have not been following that 10% - what happens when it is mandatory?
 - i. Up to council they will look into it Noel
 - ii. Needs to be a standard
 - 1. Do not want to abuse the system
 - 2. Most do a good job of spending money
 - iii. Set a standard and appeal process
- 11) Original Amendment – tabled
 - a. History of how Board of Trustees disregarded a minimum for student governments.
 - b. Students government's want to match 10% minimum
 - c. All three approved allocation
- 12) Minimum 7% -
 - a. Should we allow student governments to go down?
 - b. What if revenue drops?
 - c. DaVonti – It may not be necessary.
 - d. Noel – who sits on committee? All student governments included in conversation
 - e. 7% of what? Gross versus Net?
 - i. After fixed costs
 - ii. Have ability to staff and run programs, could be dangerous without
 - f. DaVonti – Should be able to go below that minimum?
 - g. Noel- Left up to student government representatives
 - h. Megan – Weight is on committee
 - i. What is the makeup of the committee?
 - i. All student governments as a whole – accepted?
 - i. 7% broken down between USG - CGS - IPC
 - j. Other student orgs versus student governments
 - i. Why do they get a 7% minimum and others don't?
 - ii. Student governments – approve the fee and we have to get this much
 - iii. Historical context: student government funded through the university, honor that space.
 - 1. Faculty and staff are here. It is more than that.
 - iv. 7% respects that space and can't intrude any further below.
 - v. Historical context: Kent State
 - 1. Student governments are important
 - vi. Revisited – minimum amount is not subject to reduction unless in the case of a fee increase
 - k. 3 year model
 - l. Operating procedures can be updated – **2 year mandatory

- Edits:
 - o Student government
 - o Rake back in with appealing process
 - o One time programs
 - Add details that it is specifically for programming
 - Define programming
- Dates when reconciliation comes?
- Programs that benefit all students
- Eligibility to apply –
 - o Great events not a student organization
 - o Difference between carry forward and programming?
 - o Allocations subcommittee rules apply
 - o What if they have maxed out
- All authority goes to allocations subcommittee

MacGregor:

- creating application
- may be too much of stretch
- signature events process
- should be a CSA function
- Form a subcommittee
- Allocations could review

- Application is created by the end of the year
- Signature events application as a guide

C. Council on Student affairs has authoritative power....

- Student activity fee area
- How do we ensure students are involved?

Academic units and parts that are working with students at all times

- student orgs are protected in this part

Department example: Social change

- Roger: will the application process be enumerated?
- Noel: does not reside in operating procedures
- May live in allocation's procedures

Updates:

- Student Life
 - won our bowl game, community service St. Mary's Foodbank, partnered with University of Notre Dame, doubled outcome 80,000 lbs.
 - CCS 8 new positions
 - MLK

-Issues: no

-Subcommittee: next funding deadline is February 1st

-USG: expand STEP program, consolidate, little bugs, with more students, dining review

-CGS

-IPC

CSA: A Beautiful Partnership

Signature Event: Involvement fair and the zoo

Human Interest: Eddie George is opening Billy Flynn

Mirror Lake:

- traditions to keep students engaged
- details, students are still going to jump if there is water
- Student led or student life or public safety
 - o Needs to be organic

24 hours ahead of time for documents for processing.

Student survey through student government