COUNCIL ON STUDENT AFFAIRS MEETING AGENDA
OHIO UNION, SPHINX LEADERSHIP SUITE – 3:30PM – APRIL 9TH, 2018

Attendees: Scott D, Julie D. (for Tracey), Andrew J., Justin K., Matthew H., Virgil V. Jacob R.

Carolina L., Kristyn (for Alex), Amanda M., Amy D., Anthony L.(for Shawn K.) Bowen M.,

Stephen P., Dr. Mull, Dan H., Lindsey M., Matt C.

Old Business

1. Approval of Meeting Minutes – Motion to approve, and seconded, motion passes. Approved

2. SPG Updates – Total allocated: *$473,896.24;* Remaining: *$44,103.76 Corrected Number (subtract $900),= $43,203.76*

    a. Council on Graduate Students – Diversity and Bias Training – *Denied*

        i. *Stephen: Denial based on lack of openness*

    b. Sociology and Criminology – Rikers Event – *$900*

    c. Student Life Multicultural Center – NCORE – *Denied*

        i. *Could not fund due to cost.*

    d. Office of the Senior Vice President for Student Life – Career Fair Business Nametags - *$75,000 (Full Council Vote)* –

        i. *Stephen: Open Discussion, motion and second.*

        ii. *Amanda: Move to discussion, can we move to have a discussion without Dr. Mull and Bowen in the room due to their presenting and bringing this forward*

        iii. *Stephen: We have not had other presenters leave the room for the course of discussions*

"CSA serves as the principal link between students, the student governments, the faculty and administration to encourage a sense of community and improve the quality of student life on campus."

iv. *Amanda: Corporate recruitment is not fundable under CSA guidelines, we cannot fund based on non-fundable programs as defined by allocations*

v. *Carolina: Distinction between CSA funding and SPG funding?*

vi. *Erin: Question: Advantage for disposable nametags is that people may change majors?*

vii. *Dr. Mull: The career fair is perceived as career development, not corporate recruitment. In response to disposable vs permanent name tags, is giving a name tag different than giving a t-shirt?*

viii. *Amanda: How is this not corporate recruitment?*

ix. *Dr. Mull: This is an event in which we provide professional development to students. Return on investment for prof –devo as the entire fair includes programming to help students ready themselves for the job market.*

x. *Jacob: Is restriction based on giving specific companies a leg up or not providing professional development?*

xi. *Amanda: Within CSA, we've denied visits to specific companies, and to have specific companies recruit companies.*

xii. *Carolina: We would not have seen this as a fundable program in CSA Allocations.*

xiii. *Lindsay: Would not have funded in allocations on the basis of corporate recruitment.*

xiv. *Carolina: Is there a different set of guidelines for SPG?*

xv. *Stephen: That has been the part of the process for the year. Those policies have been decided in this room for the SPG grant.*

xvi. *Erin: Intrinsically these name tags are not considered corporate recruitment. Professional Development, and other name tags, and prof devo conferences are spaces these could be used at?*

xvii. *Amy: Seems inconsistent with how we have been funded in the past.*

xviii. *Carolina: These nametags can be used for all sorts of things, in which one of these things is career fair, that could make it more inclusive.*

xix. *Matt: Do students have to attend career fair to get these?*

xx. *Dr. Mull: No. We used career fair as an estimate as we have gotten the feedback that we need these nametags in this particular way. Intention was to lessen the cost to students*

xxi. *Matt: We approved the law event in SPG but denied in CSA allocations.*

xxii. *Amanda: We approved law event in SPG and CSA allocations.*

xxiii. *Carolina: How did this number come up?*

xxiv. *Dr. Mull: We based on attendance to University Wide Career fair and subsequent events*

xxv. *Carolina: Could we rephrase?*

xxvi. *Amanda: Can we fund something separate and apart from a program?*

xxvii. *Dr. Mull: Career event, larger context, is all the programming in addition to the career fair.*

xxviii. *Amy: Is there comprehensive programming for the two weeks?*

xxix. *Dr. Mull: Yes, it is. There is extensive programming beyond the career fair.*

xxx. *Amy: Students could apply for name tags for any career event during those two weeks.*

"CSA serves as the principal link between students, the student governments, the faculty and administration to encourage a sense of community and improve the quality of student life on campus."

xxxi.   Dr. Mull: Yes

xxxii. Andrew: Are we holding this application to the same standard as all other applications? Application only talks about these specific pieces of career fair.

xxxiii. Stephen: We have asked others to come in and present more information even if an application has not had all information.

xxxiv. Amanda: Other people have not had information about the restrictions on funding.

xxxv.   Dr. Mull: We have asked questions in SPG to provide additional information on restrictions on funding

xxxvi. Amanda: There are questions that I did not ask in SPG.

xxxvii. Dr. Mull: We do not have to approve this; but also, there is nothing that we said we could not ask in SPGs to groups who were presenting. I asked any question I felt pertinent.

xxxviii. Stephen: We can also table this until we get more information.

xxxix. Scott: This cost for name tags is high for $75,000 for 7,500, we can talk about the economy of scale that these nametags don't need to cost that much. If we can go back, can we shop tighter and bring the cost down?

xl.   Stephen: Other discussion, motion on the floor?

xli.   Justin: Motion to table the discussion for more information. Second, All Aye for discussion, Motion to table passes.

xlii.   Stephen: Table discussion for additional information in final meeting.

New Business

1. Student Organization Funding Guidelines Changes – Vote

"CSA serves as the principal link between students, the student governments, the faculty and administration to encourage a sense of community and improve the quality of student life on campus."

    a. Lindsay: Page 19, Columbus Partnership Language,

        i. Difficulty in defining the boundaries of this area off campus but within reasonable distance for students to be able to accessible. Programs that are not service or outreach.

        ii. In the past, have not funded any transportation beyond service trips.

        iii. To what extent does adding this language

        iv. Amanda: Move to amend this proposal and remove Columbus partnershps from the proposals, Second Kristen, Motion to approve, Yes. Motion Passes

        v. Stephen: All those in favor, Aye, Motion Passes

    b. Lindsay: Page 21, Signature events

        i. Lindsay: Added statement that we do not fund signature events

    c. Lindsay: Page 22, Apparel

        i. Added language that we cannot fund apparel that will not be funded if apparel is sold for fundraising

    d. Matt: request amendment to clarify that "Signature events" be specified as "Student Activity Fee funded" Signature events

    e. Stephen: Motion? Amanda: Motion to approve all Changes, Second: Yes, Vote Call: Aye, Motion passes

2. Student Activity Fee Review – Discussion

    a. Anthony Long: SAF is gaining more money in subsequent years and there was an intentional allocation to Resource room and IPC

    b. Stephen: Full list of recommendations: See report and recommendation break down.

c. Dan: FY18 should say FY 19 in report.

d. Amanda: Why was signature events moved to fixed allocations?

e. Anthony Long: We worried that if enrollment drops signature event funding would be more variable.

f. Stephen: Signature events being fixed helps to limit carry forward. Will not vote today, need two weeks for discussion.

g. Lindsay: Student Org Funding, We have been underfunded in the past, compared to previous years requested amounts, and it is an unpredictable amount for funding. May limit budget for allocations

h. Stephen: Logistics of funding for CSA may need to look at allocations language revision based on available funds in future years.

i. Amanda: Growth of program applications from 25 the initial year to the current volume. In the past, there was a process of ranking proposals based on merit.

j. Dr. Mull: Moved away from ranking programs due to entrance of values and viewpoints in funding

k. Lindsay: Cannot imagine having parameters set by July 1 for funding.

l. Dr. Mull: Do student orgs get the amounts they actually apply for?

m. Lindsay: Programs come in two forms, those student orgs who apply and spend their full amount and those over budget and don't spend everything.

n. Dr. Mull: Could we reduce the amounts per student org to $1,700 and $2,700

o. Dan: There is difficulty in estimating the redemption rate which is around 80% in making sure to allocate and disperse funds correctly.

p. Stephen: Main changes to SAF: see document.

q. Dan: We were overfunded this year. We are going to owe back next year to the tune of $14,000. Will likely not have reconciliation to fall back on.

r. Dan: removal of "Funding for an additional full-time Student Activities Inter Professional Council (IPC) employee within staffing" will be removed as this was an inaccurate request.

s. Dan: Staffing cost is getting back to funding 8 or so positions funded annually instead of funding these positions partially through carry forward

t. Dan: $35,000 is for resource room student employees that was previously funded by general funds

u. Erin: If funding was cut? How did the percentage increase?

v. Dan: The Signature events funding went from a variable percentage amount to a fixed amount. OUAB is getting a larger percentage of a smaller pool of funding

w. Dr. Mull: Funding is approximately the same, even though funding was changed.

x. Dan: Only incoming students will be assessed the additional fee of $2.50 per semester.

3. CSA Chair Nominations

   a. Stephen: Open of CSAS Chair Nominations

      i. Bowen: Can we do this over email?

      ii. Dr. Mull: We cannot because we need an open floor to accept. We may have issues with people being nominated during timeline because of elections/nominations for student governments

      iii. Stephen: Can accept nominations over email but not discussion, will send this out. Will open nomination and have discussion and voting next meeting.

"CSA serves as the principal link between students, the student governments, the faculty and administration to encourage a sense of community and improve the quality of student life on campus."

4. Student Life Updates

   a. Dr. Mull

      i. Memorial service for students lost over the last year.

5. Subcommittee Updates

   a. Issues – Subcommittee Chair

   b. Allocations – Subcommittee Chair, Amanda Montoya

      i. Lindsay: meeting Monday next week for summer review

6. Student Government Updates

   a. USG\

      i. Andrew: Time for change week went well last week. End hate is this week. New admin is finished picking leaders, now selecting senate liaisons

   b. CGS

      i. Kristen: Tracy and Alex are VP and Pres., Kristen is Treasurer, Hannah is Secretary

      ii. CGS Awards Ceremony, 1st one went well

   c. IPC

      i. Matt: No updates

7. Open Floor/Announcements

   a. Erin: End hate week, event today from 5:30-7p in the senate chamber of Ohio Union.

8. Adjournment

   a. Motion to Adjourned, Seconded, motion passes

"CSA serves as the principal link between students, the student governments, the faculty and administration to encourage a sense of community and improve the quality of student life on campus."